# Joint with Anupam Gupta (CMU), Euiwoong Lee (NYU)

FOCS 2018 10/7/2018

Faster Exact and Approximate k-cut Jason Li



### Min k-aut Problem

## 

- ≥k components Exact Time · Karger's random edge contraction:  $O(n^{2k})$ · Harder than k-clique:  $\Omega(n^{(w/3)k})$
- · Faster exact?



- ≥k components Exact Time Karger's random edge contraction: Fine-grained O(n<sup>2k</sup>)
   Contraction: Fine-grained O(n<sup>2k</sup>)
   Harder than K-clique: D(n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>)
  - · Faster exact?

![](_page_3_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Figure_4.jpeg)

- ≥k components Karger's random edge contraction: Fine-grained complexity? [O(n<sup>2k</sup>)
   Harder than k-clique: D(n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>)
   Man'17] (2-E)-apx is assuming Small
  - · Faster exact?

Min k-aut Problem · Given graph G, delete min weight edges to cut graph into · [Man'17] (2-E)-apx is NP-hard, assuming Small Set Expansion [GLL'18] 1.9997-apx in FPT time f(k) poly(n) · Better apx?

![](_page_4_Picture_4.jpeg)

≥k components

Min k-aut Problem · Given graph G, delete min weight edges to cut graph into Exact Time <u>Approx</u> • Karger's random edge contraction: Fine-grained  $O(n^{2k})$ • Contraction: Fine-grained  $O(n^{2k})$ • [SV'95] Greedy 2-apx • [Man'17] (2-E)-apx is NP-hard, assuming Small Set Expansion EDT 10 • This work: exact  $O(n^{(aw/3+o(1))k})$ . Better apx?

![](_page_5_Picture_4.jpeg)

≥k components

Min k-aut Problem · Given graph G, delete min weight edges to cut graph into Exact Time <u>Approx</u> • Karger's random edge  $O(n^{2k})$  • [SV'95] Greedy 2-apx contraction: Fine-grained  $O(n^{2k})$  • [Man'17] (2-E)-apx is NP-hard, • Harder than k-clique:  $\Omega(n^{(W/3)k})$  • [Man'17] (2-E)-apx is Expansion assuming Small Set Expansion • Faster exact? • This work: exact  $O(n^{(aw/3+o(1))k})$   $w^{(1,bk)}$ • [GLL'18] 1.9997-apx in FPT time f(k) poly(n) • Better apx? • This work: (1+c)-apx in f(k,c)n<sup>(k+o(1)</sup>)</sup>

![](_page_6_Picture_4.jpeg)

- ≥k components

Min k-aut Problem · Given graph G, delete min weight edges to cut graph into Exact Time • Karger's random edge contraction: Fine-grained  $O(n^{2k})$ • Esv'95] Greedy 2-apx • [Sv'95] Greedy 2-apx • [Man'17] (2-E)-apx is NP-hard, assuming Small Set Expansion Approx • [Sv'95] Greedy 2-apx • [Man'17] (2-E)-apx is NP-hard, assuming Small Set Expansion • Faster exact? • This work: exact  $O(n^{(aw/3+o(1))k})$   $= n^{(.bk)}$ • [GLL'18] <u>1.9997-apx</u> in FPT time f(k) poly(n) • Better apx? • This work:  $(1+\varepsilon)$ -apx in  $f(k,\varepsilon)n^{(k+o(1))}$ • This work:  $(1+\varepsilon)$ -apx in FPT time

![](_page_7_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_3.jpeg)

- · Given graph G, delete min weight edges to cut graph into ≥k components Exact Time Karger's random edge
   Contraction: Fine-grained O(n<sup>2k</sup>)
   Complexity? [O(n<sup>2k</sup>))
   Harder than k-clique: D(n<sup>(W/3)k</sup>) · Faster exact? • This work: exact O(n(2w/3+o(1))k)

Techniques

![](_page_9_Picture_5.jpeg)

### · Given graph G, delete min weight edges to cut graph into ≥k components • Karger's random edge contraction: Fine-grained $O(n^{2k})$ • Harder than k-clique: $\Omega(n^{(w/3)k})$ -· Faster exact? • This work: exact O(n(2w/3+o(1))k)

Techniques

> Idea: connect k-cut with K-clique

### · Given graph G, delete min weight edges to cut graph into ≥k components Exact Time Karger's random edge contraction: Fine-grained O(n<sup>2k</sup>) Harder than k-clique: D(n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>) · Faster exact? • This work: exact O(n(2w/3+o(1))k)

Techniques

Idea: connect k-cut with K-clique · (w/3)k\_time k-clique algo using matrix multiplication

![](_page_11_Picture_6.jpeg)

### · Given graph G, delete min weight edges to cut graph into ≥k components Exact Time Karger's random edge contraction: Fine-grained O(n<sup>2k</sup>) Harder than k-clique: D(n<sup>(W/3)k</sup>) · Faster exact? • This work: exact O(n(2w/3+o(1))k)

Techniques

Idea: connect k-cut with K-clique · (w/3)k\_time k-clique algo using matrix multiplication • This talk: n(1tw/3)k algo ~n.8k

![](_page_12_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

· Given graph G, delete min weight edges to cut graph into ≥k components Exact Time Karger's random edge contraction: Fine-grained O(n<sup>2k</sup>)
Harder than k-clique: D(n<sup>(W/3)k</sup>) · Faster exact?

• This work: exact  $O(n^{(2w/3+o(1))k})$ 

Techniques

Idea: connect k-cut with K-clique · (w/3)k\_time k-clique algo using matrix multiplication • This talk: n<sup>(1+w/3)k</sup> algo ~n.8K · Idea 1: Thorup's tree packing • I dea 2: Reduction to (k-1)-respecting • I dea 3: k-clique-like mtx.mult.

![](_page_13_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Techr

- · Given graph G, delete min wer ≥k components Exact Time Karger's random edge contraction: Fine-grained O(n<sup>2k</sup>)
   Harder than k-clique: D(n<sup>(w/3)</sup>) · Faster exact?
- This work: exact O(n(2w/3+o(1)

![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

Hardness from k-clique

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. >Hardness n(w/3)k

![](_page_15_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

Hardness from k-clique

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. →Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

Idea: suppose optimal k-cut is (k-1) Singletons to rest of graph • K-cut = Ždeg(vi)

Hardness from k-clique

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. →Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

![](_page_17_Picture_5.jpeg)

Idea: suppose optimal k-cut is (k-1) Singletons eg(v) rest of graph •  $k - cut = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} deg(v_i) - w(E[v_1, v_2, ..., v_{k-1}])$ 

Hardness from k-clique

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. >Hardness n(w/3)k

 $\overline{r}$ 

![](_page_18_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

Hardness from k-clique

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. >Hardness n(w/3)k

maximized

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

non-singleton cuts

Hardness from k-clique

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. >Hardness n(w/3)k

maximized

![](_page_20_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

Hardness from k-clique

maximized

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. >Hardness n(w/3)k

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_7.jpeg)

Exact n(1+w/3)k Hardness from k-clique Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. Ldea: suppose optimal k-cut is (k-1) k-clique-like mtx.mult. >Hardness n(w/3)k Singletons ag(v) rest of graph n-deg (Vi) n2-deg(vn) instance • k-cut =  $\sum deg(v_i) - w(E[v_1, v_2, ..., v_{k-1}])$ Vn · If G is regular, minimized when maximized • maximum when k-clique! ·Add large weights to rule out non-singleton cuts

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Hardness

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

| from k-cl  | ique                       | Exact n <sup>(1+w/3)</sup> .<br>Thorup's tree  |
|--|----------------------------|--|
| ut is (k-1)<br>of graph  |                            | Reduction to (k-<br>k-clique-like mi<br>>Hardness n(w/3)   |
| (V2,, VK-1)<br>when is<br>maximized<br>maximized<br>when k-clique! | • deg(<br>• min k<br>heavy | hard<br>hard<br>-clique<br>nstance<br>$v_n$<br>$v_n$<br>$v) = n^2  \forall v$<br>t = ut should only cut k-1<br>$g = dges ((k-1)n^2)$ |

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Hardness

L'dea: suppose optimal K-a rest Singletons **K-1** • K-cut =  $\sum deg(v_i) - w(E[v_i])$ • If G is regular, minimized · maximum whe ·Add <u>large</u> weights to rule ow non-singleton cuts

| from k-clique   |   | Exact n <sup>(1+w/3</sup><br>Thorup's tree  |
|---|---|---|
| nt is (k-1)<br>of graph                               |   | Reduction to (k<br>k-clique-like m<br>Hardness n <sup>(w/3)</sup>   |
| y V2,, VK-1])<br>when is<br>maximized<br>an k-clique! | • $deg(x-i)$<br>• $min k$<br>heave<br>$\Rightarrow (i)$ | hard<br>-clique<br>$v_n$<br>$v_n = n^2  \forall v = 1$<br>$v_n = n^2  \forall v = 1$<br>$v_n = n^2  \forall v = 1$<br>$v_n = 1$<br>$v_n = v_n = 1$<br>$v_n $ |

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Hardness.

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

| from k-cl   | ique  | Exact n <sup>(1+w/3)</sup> .<br>Thorup's tree   |
|---|---|---|
| nt is (k-1)<br>of graph   |   | Reduction to (k.<br>k-clique-like mi<br>>Hardness n <sup>(w/3)</sup>  |
| , V2,, VK-1])<br>when is<br>maximized<br>maximized<br>maximized | deg(i) $deg(i)$ $min k$ $heavi$ $i$ $k - i$ | $v_{n}^{V_{1}} = n^{2}  \forall v_{0}$ $v_{n}^{2} = n^{2}  \forall v$ $(-\omega t \text{ should only cut } k $ |

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_7.jpeg)

→ Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Thm [Thorup'08]: Can find poly(n) spanning trees of G with the following property:

Exact n(1+w/3)k -> Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

For any min k-cut,  $\exists tree s.t.$  the k-cut cuts  $\leq 2k-2$  edges of the tree:  $|E_T[V_1^*,...,V_k^*]| \leq 2k-2$ 

![](_page_27_Picture_6.jpeg)

- . Thm [Thorup'08]: Can find poly(n) spanning trees
  - K-cut ≤2k-2 respects the tree

of G with the following preety:

Exact n(1+w/3)k -> Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

For any min k-cut,  $\exists tree s.t.$  the k-cut cuts  $\leq 2k-2$  edges of the tree:  $|E_T[V_1^*,...,V_k^*]| \leq 2k-2$ 

![](_page_28_Picture_6.jpeg)

- Thm [Thorup'08]: Can find poly(n) spanning trees of G with the following property:
  - K-cut ≤2k-2 respects the tree

V4

V\*)

(k=6) (k=6)

Exact n(1+w/3)k -> Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

For any min k-cut,  $\exists tree s.t.$  the k-cut cuts  $\leq 2k-2$  edges of the tree:  $|E_T[V_1^*, ..., V_k^*]| \leq 2k-2$ 

![](_page_29_Picture_7.jpeg)

- . Thm [Thorup'08]: Can find poly(n) spanning trees
  - K-cut ≤2k-2 respects the tree

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

of G with the following property:

Exact n(1+w/3)k -> Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

For any min k-cut,  $\exists tree s.t.$  the k-cut cuts  $\leq 2k-2$  edges of the tree:  $|E_T[V_1^*, ..., V_k^*]| \leq 2k-2$ 

![](_page_30_Picture_8.jpeg)

- . Thm [Thorup'08]: Can find poly(n) spanning trees
  - K-cut ≤2k-2 respects the tree

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

Exact n(1+w/3)k -> Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. of G with the following property: Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup> For any min k-cut,  $\exists tree s.t.$  the k-cut cuts  $\leq 2k-2$  edges of the tree:  $|E_T[V_1^*, ..., V_k^*]| \leq 2k-2$ 

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

- . Thm [Thorup'08]: Can find poly(n) spanning trees
  - K-cut ≤2k-2 respects the tree

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

Exact n(1+w/3)k -> Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. of G with the following property: Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup> For any min k-cut,  $\exists tree s.t.$  the k-cut cuts  $\leq 2k-2$  edges of the tree:  $|E_T[V_1^*,...,V_k^*]| \leq 2k-2$ V<sup>\*</sup><sub>5</sub>

![](_page_32_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_6.jpeg)

- . Thm [Thorup'08]: Can find poly(n) spanning trees
  - K-cut ≤2k-2 respects the tree

![](_page_33_Figure_3.jpeg)

Exact n(1+w/3)k -> Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. of G with the following property: Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup> For any min k-cut,  $\exists tree s.t.$  the k-cut cuts  $\leq 2k-2$  edges of the tree:  $|E_T[V_1^*,...,V_k^*]| \leq 2k-2$ Ocut ≤2K-2 edges of T

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_6.jpeg)

- . Thm [Thorup'08]: Can find poly(n) spanning trees
  - K-cut ≤2k-2 respects the tree

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

Exact n(1+w/3)k -> Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. of G with the following property: Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup> For any min k-cut,  $\exists tree s.t.$  the k-cut cuts  $\leq 2k-2$  edges of the tree:  $|E_T[V_1^*,...,V_k^*]| \leq 2k-2$ (best possible) Dout <2k-2 edges of -2) merge como (K=6)

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Easy case: O (K-1)-respects tree

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Matrix Multiplication

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. -> k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

![](_page_35_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. → k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

 $\overline{r}$ 

![](_page_36_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. -> k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

![](_page_37_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. -> k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

![](_page_38_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. → k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

![](_page_39_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. -> k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

Node weights "weight" of a certain k-clique (negotive) • Unweighted graph: node weights  $W(SV_i^*) \in [n^2]$ , edge weights  $-W(E[V_i^*, V_j^*]) \in [-n^2]$ 

![](_page_40_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. -> k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

$$V_{i}^{*} \text{ to minimize } k-cut \text{ between}$$

$$V_{ik-1}^{*}$$
of a certain k-clique (negotive)  
(SV\_{i}^{\*}) \in [n^{2}], edge weights - w(E[V\_{i}^{\*},V\_{j}^{\*}])
(SV\_{i}^{\*})  $\in [n^{2}], edge weights - w(E[V_{i}^{*},V_{j}^{*}])$ 
(we integer weights in [-w,w], in O(W  $\cdot n^{(m)}$ 

![](_page_41_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

Medium case:

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. → k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

![](_page_42_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

K-cut: cut (K-1) edges of tree to minimize k-cut in G of the k connected components

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. ~> k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n(w/3)k

![](_page_43_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

K-cut: cut (K-1) edges of tree to minimize k-cut in G of the k connected components

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. ~> k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

![](_page_44_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

Exact n(1+w/3)k (K-1)-respecting tree Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. Medium case: (K-1)-respects tree, still want n(W/3)k ~> k-clique-like mtx.mult. K-cut: cut (K-1) edges of tree to minimize k-cut in G Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup> of the k connected components DP: State (v, s): best way to delete parent edge of v, along with (s-1) edges in v's subtree (s≤k-1)

![](_page_45_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

Exact n(1+w/3)k (K-1)-respecting tree Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. Medium case: (K-1)-respects tree, still want n(W/3)k ~> k-clique-like mtx.mult. K-cut: cut (K-1) edges of tree to minimize k-cut in G Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup> of the k connected components DP: State(v,s): best way to delete parent edge of v, along with (s-1) edges in State(V,2) v's subtree (s≤k-1)

![](_page_46_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

Exact n(1+w/3)k (K-1)-respecting tree Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. Medium case: (K-1)-respects tree, still want n(W/3)k ~> k-clique-like mtx.mult. K-cut: cut (K-1) edges of tree to minimize k-cut in G Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup> of the k connected components DP: State (v, s): best way to delete parent edge of v, along with (s-1) edges in State(V,2) v's subtree (s≤k-1)

![](_page_47_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

Exact n(1+w/3)k (K-1)-respecting tree Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. Medium case: (K-1)-respects tree, still want n(W/3)k ~> k-clique-like mtx.mult. K-cut: cut (K-1) edges of tree to minimize k-cut in G Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup> of the k connected components DP: State (v, s): best way to delete parent edge of v, along with (s-1) edges in State(V,2) v's subtree (s≤k-1)

![](_page_48_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

Exact n(1+w/3)k (K-1)-respecting tree Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. Medium case: (K-1)-respects tree, still want n(W/3)k ~> k-clique-like mtx.mult. K-cut: cut (K-1) edges of tree to minimize k-cut in G Hardness n(w/3)k of the k connected components DP: State (v, s): best way to delete parent edge of v, along with (s-1) edges in State(V,2) v's subtree (s≤k-1) Intuition: if delete v's parent, then v's subtree is independent instance

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

(K-1)-respecting tree Medium case: (K-1)-respects tree, still want n(W/3)K K-cut: cut (K-1) edges of tree to minimize k-cut in G of the k connected components DP: State (v, s): best way to delete parent edge of v, along with (s-1) edges in v's subtree (s≤k-1) Intuition: if delete v's parent, then v's subtree is independent instance Computing State(V,s):

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. ~> k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

State(v, 6) = ?

![](_page_50_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

(K-1)-respecting tree Medium case: (K-1)-respects tree, still want n(W/3)K K-cut: cut (K-1) edges of tree to minimize k-cut in G of the k connected components DP: State (v, s): best way to delete parent edge ot v, along with (s-1) edges in v's subtree (s≤k-1) Intuition: if delete v's parent, then v's subtree is independent instance Computing State(V,s): · focus on "maximal" edges

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. ~> k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

State(v, 6) = ?

![](_page_51_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

of the k connected components DP: State (v, s): best way to delete parent edge Intuition: if delete v's parent, then Computing State(V,s): · focus on "maximal" edges

![](_page_53_Picture_0.jpeg)

of the k connected components DP: State (v, s): best way to delete parent edge Intuition: if delete v's parent, then Computing State(V,s): · focus on "maximal" edges

![](_page_54_Picture_0.jpeg)

of the k connected components DP: State (v, s): best way to delete parent edge Intuition: if delete v's parent, then Computing State(V,s):

2k-2 -> (k-1)-respecting

Thm: Given a (2k-2)-respecting tree, can compute  $F(k)n^k$  many trees s.t. one of them is 1-respecting, w.h.p.

Exact n(1+w/3)k -> Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

![](_page_55_Picture_4.jpeg)

 $2k-2 \rightarrow (k-1)$ -respecting

Thm: Given a (2k-2)-respecting tree, can compute  $F(k)n^k$  many trees s.t. one of them is 1-respecting, w.h.p. · poly(n) trees, one of which is (2k-2)-resp.  $\Rightarrow f(k)n^{k+o(l)}$  trees, one of which is (k-l)-resp.

Exact n(1+w/3)k -> Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

![](_page_56_Picture_3.jpeg)

2k-2 -> (k-1)-respecting

Thm: Given a (2k-2)-respecting tree, can compute  $F(k)n^k$  many trees s.t. one of them is 1-respecting, w.h.p. · poly(n) trees, one of which is (2k-2)-resp.  $\Rightarrow f(k)n^{k+o(l)}$  trees, one of which is (k-l)-resp.• n(w/3)k time per tree, f(k) n(1+ w/3)k + o(1) total

Exact n(1+w/3)k -> Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

![](_page_57_Picture_3.jpeg)

(ItE)-approx k-cut

### Thm: Given (K-1)-respecting tree, can find (1+E)-apx K-cut in f(K,E)poly(n) (FPT) time

 $\overline{r}$ 

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

![](_page_58_Picture_4.jpeg)

(ItE)-approx K-cut

Thm: Given (K-1)-respecting tree, can find (ItE)-apx K-cut in f(K,E)poly(n) (FPT) · Exact is impossible in FPT (W[1]-hard)

Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

![](_page_59_Picture_5.jpeg)

 $(|t \varepsilon) - app \cap$ 

Thm: Given (K-1)-respecting tr (1+E)-apx k-cut in f(k, time · Exact is impossible in FPT · Uses approximation algo tec FPT te Apply thm on each of f(K)  $\rightarrow$  (1+  $\varepsilon$ )-apx in  $f(k, \varepsilon)n^{k}$ 

| ox k-cut  | Exact n <sup>(1+w/3)</sup><br>Thorup's tree                         |
|---|---|
| ree, can find<br>, E) poly (n) (FPT)  | Reduction to (k-<br>k-clique-like mt<br>Hardness n <sup>(w/3)</sup> |
| - (W[1]-hard)<br>chniques (E-nets)<br>chniques (color-ca<br>)n k+O(1) trees | combined with<br>oding)   |
| +O(1) time  |   |

![](_page_60_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_5.jpeg)

Open problems

Exact n<sup>(1+w/3)k</sup> Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

![](_page_61_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_0.jpeg)

- · Faster exact algo?

- Supper bound n<sup>(2w/3)k</sup> 2 lower bound n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>
- Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>
  - "fine-grained complexity" of k-cut?

![](_page_62_Picture_8.jpeg)

Open problems

 Faster exact algo? Supper bound n<sup>(2ω/3)k</sup>
 Clower bound n<sup>(ω/3)k</sup> "fine-grained complexity" of k-cut? · Faster combinatorial exact algo?

Exact n<sup>(1+w/3)k</sup> Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup>

![](_page_63_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Exact n(1+w/3)k Open problems Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. · Faster exact algo? Supper bound n<sup>(2w/3)k</sup> 2 lower bound n<sup>(w/3)k</sup> k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup> "fine-grained complexity" of k-cut? => f(k) n<sup>1.99k</sup> extremal # min K-cuts

· Faster combinatorial exact algo? · [GLL'18, unpublished] f(K) n<sup>1,99k</sup> time · Lower bound nk (combinatorial k-clique)

![](_page_64_Picture_3.jpeg)

Open problems

· Faster exact algo? Supper bound n<sup>(2w/3)k</sup> 2 lower bound n<sup>(w/3)k</sup> · Faster combinatorial exact algo? · [GLL'18, unpublished] f(K) n<sup>1.99k</sup> time · Lower bound nk (combinatorial k-clique) · Better approximation? · (1+E)-apx in f(k, E) poly(n) time?

### Exact n(1+w/3)k Thorup's tree packing Reduction to (k-1)-resp. k-clique-like mtx.mult. Hardness n<sup>(w/3)k</sup> "fine-grained complexity" of k-cut? => f(k) n<sup>1.99k</sup> extremal # min K-cuts

![](_page_65_Picture_4.jpeg)