### Fair Cuts: Motivation, Definition, and Applications

Jason Li (Simons Institute) Joint with Danupon Nanongkai (MPI), Debmalya Panigrahi (Duke), and Thatchaphol Saranurak (UMich)

#### SODA 2023 January 22, 2023

• s-t mincut of an (undirected) graph: the smallest set of edges whose removal disconnects s and t

- s-t mincut of an (undirected) graph: the smallest set of edges whose removal disconnects s and t
- Uncrossing property of s-t mincuts:

- s-t mincut of an (undirected) graph: the smallest set of edges whose removal disconnects s and  $t$
- Uncrossing property of s-t mincuts:
	- for any s–t mincut and vertices  $u$ ,  $v$ , there exists a  $u$ – $v$ mincut that does not "cross"



Used in divide-and-conquer algorithms for Gomory-Hu tree (all-pairs mincut): "dividing" on s–t mincut does not destroy  $u-v$  mincut

- $\bullet$  s-t mincut of an (undirected) graph: the smallest set of edges whose removal disconnects  $s$  and  $t$
- $\bullet$  Uncrossing property of  $s$ – $t$  mincuts:
	- for any s–t mincut and vertices  $u$ ,  $v$ , there exists a  $u$ – $v$ mincut that does not "cross"
	- Can be proved by submodularity of cuts. This talk: flow-based proof.

- $\bullet$  s-t mincut of an (undirected) graph: the smallest set of edges whose removal disconnects  $s$  and  $t$
- Uncrossing property of s–t mincuts:
	- for any  $s-t$  mincut and vertices u, v, there exists a  $u-v$ mincut that does not "cross"
	- Can be proved by submodularity of cuts. This talk: flow-based proof.
	- Suppose  $s$ – $t$  mincut and  $u$ – $v$  mincut cross:



- $\bullet$  s-t mincut of an (undirected) graph: the smallest set of edges whose removal disconnects  $s$  and  $t$
- Uncrossing property of s-t mincuts:
	- for any  $s-t$  mincut and vertices  $u, v$ , there exists a  $u-v$ mincut that does not "cross"
	- Can be proved by submodularity of cuts. This talk: flow-based proof.
	- Suppose  $s$ – $t$  mincut and  $u$ – $v$  mincut cross:
	- $\bullet$  Consider a maximum  $s$ -t flow, which saturates the cut edges by max-flow-min-cut theorem



- $\bullet$  s-t mincut of an (undirected) graph: the smallest set of edges whose removal disconnects  $s$  and  $t$
- Uncrossing property of s-t mincuts:
	- for any  $s-t$  mincut and vertices  $u, v$ , there exists a  $u-v$ mincut that does not "cross"
	- Can be proved by submodularity of cuts. This talk: flow-based proof.
	- Suppose  $s$ – $t$  mincut and  $u$ – $v$  mincut cross:
	- $\bullet$  Consider a maximum  $s$ -t flow, which saturates the cut edges by max-flow-min-cut theorem



- $\bullet$  s-t mincut of an (undirected) graph: the smallest set of edges whose removal disconnects  $s$  and  $t$
- Uncrossing property of s-t mincuts:
	- for any  $s-t$  mincut and vertices  $u, v$ , there exists a  $u-v$ mincut that does not "cross"
	- Can be proved by submodularity of cuts. This talk: flow-based proof.
	- Suppose  $s$ – $t$  mincut and  $u$ – $v$  mincut cross:
	- $\bullet$  Consider a maximum  $s$ -t flow, which saturates the cut edges by max-flow-min-cut theorem



- $\bullet$  s-t mincut of an (undirected) graph: the smallest set of edges whose removal disconnects  $s$  and  $t$
- Uncrossing property of s-t mincuts:
	- for any  $s-t$  mincut and vertices  $u, v$ , there exists a  $u-v$ mincut that does not "cross"
	- Can be proved by submodularity of cuts. This talk: flow-based proof.
	- Suppose  $s$ – $t$  mincut and  $u$ – $v$  mincut cross:
	- $\bullet$  Consider a maximum  $s$ -t flow, which saturates the cut edges by max-flow-min-cut theorem



- $\bullet$  s-t mincut of an (undirected) graph: the smallest set of edges whose removal disconnects  $s$  and  $t$
- Uncrossing property of s-t mincuts:
	- for any  $s-t$  mincut and vertices  $u, v$ , there exists a  $u-v$ mincut that does not "cross"
	- Can be proved by submodularity of cuts. This talk: flow-based proof.
	- Suppose  $s$ – $t$  mincut and  $u$ – $v$  mincut cross:
	- $\bullet$  Consider a maximum  $s$ -t flow, which saturates the cut edges by max-flow-min-cut theorem



- $\bullet$  s-t mincut of an (undirected) graph: the smallest set of edges whose removal disconnects  $s$  and  $t$
- Uncrossing property of s-t mincuts:
	- for any  $s-t$  mincut and vertices  $u, v$ , there exists a  $u-v$ mincut that does not "cross"
	- Can be proved by submodularity of cuts. This talk: flow-based proof.
	- Suppose  $s$ –*t* mincut and  $u$ – $v$  mincut cross:
	- $\bullet$  Consider a maximum  $s$ -t flow, which saturates the cut edges by max-flow-min-cut theorem



• Obtained a  $u-v$  cut whose size can only be smaller  $\implies$ also u–v mincut

- $\bullet$  s-t mincut of an (undirected) graph: the smallest set of edges whose removal disconnects s and t
- Uncrossing property of s-t mincuts:
	- for any  $s-t$  mincut and vertices  $u, v$ , there exists a  $u-v$ mincut that does not "cross"
	- Can be proved by submodularity of cuts. This talk: flow-based proof.
	- Suppose  $s-t$  mincut and  $u-v$  mincut cross:
	- $\bullet$  Consider a maximum  $s$ -t flow, which saturates the cut edges by max-flow-min-cut theorem



- Obtained a  $u-v$  cut whose size can only be smaller  $\implies$ also u–v mincut
- We have uncrossed the  $u-v$  mincut with the s–t mincut.<br>And the set of the se

• [Chen-Kyng-Liu-Peng-Gutenberg-Sachdeva'21] s–t mincut can be solved in  $m^{1+o(1)}$  time—almost optimal!

- [Chen-Kyng-Liu-Peng-Gutenberg-Sachdeva'21] s–t mincut can be solved in  $m^{1+o(1)}$  time—almost optimal!
	- However, algorithm is very complicated

- [Chen-Kyng-Liu-Peng-Gutenberg-Sachdeva'21] s–t mincut can be solved in  $m^{1+o(1)}$  time—almost optimal!
	- However, algorithm is very complicated
	- Inherently sequential

- [Chen-Kyng-Liu-Peng-Gutenberg-Sachdeva'21] s–t mincut can be solved in  $m^{1+o(1)}$  time—almost optimal!
	- However, algorithm is very complicated
	- Inherently sequential
- [Sherman'13, Peng'16]  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate s-t mincut in  $\tilde{O}(m)$  time

- [Chen-Kyng-Liu-Peng-Gutenberg-Sachdeva'21] s–t mincut can be solved in  $m^{1+o(1)}$  time—almost optimal!
	- However, algorithm is very complicated
	- Inherently sequential
- [Sherman'13, Peng'16]  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate s–t mincut in
	- $\tilde{O}(m)$  time
		- conceptually much simpler

- [Chen-Kyng-Liu-Peng-Gutenberg-Sachdeva'21] s–t mincut can be solved in  $m^{1+o(1)}$  time—almost optimal!
	- However, algorithm is very complicated
	- Inherently sequential
- [Sherman'13, Peng'16]  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate s–t mincut in

 $\tilde{O}(m)$  time

- conceptually much simpler
- can be implemented in parallel

- [Chen-Kyng-Liu-Peng-Gutenberg-Sachdeva'21] s–t mincut can be solved in  $m^{1+o(1)}$  time—almost optimal!
	- However, algorithm is very complicated
	- Inherently sequential
- [Sherman'13, Peng'16]  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate s–t mincut in  $\tilde{O}(m)$  time
	- conceptually much simpler
	- can be implemented in parallel
- Can approximate mincuts be uncrossed?

- [Chen-Kyng-Liu-Peng-Gutenberg-Sachdeva'21] s–t mincut can be solved in  $m^{1+o(1)}$  time—almost optimal!
	- However, algorithm is very complicated
	- Inherently sequential
- [Sherman'13, Peng'16]  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate s–t mincut in  $\tilde{O}(m)$  time
	- conceptually much simpler
	- can be implemented in parallel
- Can approximate mincuts be uncrossed?
	- Not necessarily...



- [Chen-Kyng-Liu-Peng-Gutenberg-Sachdeva'21] s–t mincut can be solved in  $m^{1+o(1)}$  time—almost optimal!
	- However, algorithm is very complicated
	- Inherently sequential
- [Sherman'13, Peng'16]  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate s-t mincut in  $\tilde{O}(m)$  time
	- conceptually much simpler
	- can be implemented in parallel
- Can approximate mincuts be uncrossed?
	- Not necessarily...



• Main obstacle to obtaining approximate Gomory-Hu tree (all-pairs mincut) from approximate  $s-t$  mincut



• Let's look at this example again:



• Can locally improve the  $s$ - $t$  mincut, i.e., the  $s$ - $t$  mincut was locally bad



- Can locally improve the  $s$ - $t$  mincut, i.e., the  $s$ - $t$  mincut was locally bad
- Fair cuts: s–t cuts that are nowhere locally bad, i.e., uniformly good



- Can locally improve the  $s-t$  mincut, i.e., the  $s-t$  mincut was locally bad
- Fair cuts: s–t cuts that are nowhere locally bad, i.e., uniformly good
- Ideal theorem: for any  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -fair s–t cut and vertices  $u, v$ , there exists a  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate  $u$ - $v$  cut that does not cross



- Can locally improve the  $s-t$  mincut, i.e., the  $s-t$  mincut was locally bad
- Fair cuts: s–t cuts that are nowhere locally bad, i.e., uniformly good
- Ideal theorem: for any  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -fair s–t cut and vertices u, v, there exists a  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate  $u$ - $v$  cut that does not cross
- To formally define  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -fair, we switch to flow-based perspective again

• Definition: an s–t cut is  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -fair if there exists an s  $\rightarrow t$ flow such that every edge of the cut is nearly saturated

- Definition: an s–t cut is  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -fair if there exists an s  $\rightarrow t$ flow such that every edge of the cut is nearly saturated
	- The flow along each cut edge in the  $s \rightarrow t$  direction is at least  $\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}$  times edge capacity



- Definition: an s–t cut is  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -fair if there exists an s  $\rightarrow t$ flow such that every edge of the cut is nearly saturated
	- The flow along each cut edge in the  $s \rightarrow t$  direction is at least  $\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}$  times edge capacity



• Theorem: for any  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -fair s–t cut and vertices u, v, there exists a  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate  $u$ - $v$  cut that does not cross

- Definition: an s–t cut is  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -fair if there exists an s  $\rightarrow t$ flow such that every edge of the cut is nearly saturated
	- The flow along each cut edge in the  $s \rightarrow t$  direction is at least  $\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}$  times edge capacity



- Theorem: for any  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -fair s–t cut and vertices u, v, there exists a  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate  $u$ - $v$  cut that does not cross
- Proof: consider the nearly saturating flow...



- Definition: an s–t cut is  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -fair if there exists an s  $\rightarrow t$ flow such that every edge of the cut is nearly saturated
	- The flow along each cut edge in the  $s \rightarrow t$  direction is at least  $\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}$  times edge capacity



- Theorem: for any  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -fair s–t cut and vertices u, v, there exists a  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate  $u$ - $v$  cut that does not cross
- Proof: consider the nearly saturating flow...



- Definition: an s–t cut is  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -fair if there exists an s  $\rightarrow t$ flow such that every edge of the cut is nearly saturated
	- The flow along each cut edge in the  $s \rightarrow t$  direction is at least  $\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}$  times edge capacity



- Theorem: for any  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -fair s–t cut and vertices u, v, there exists a  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate  $u$ - $v$  cut that does not cross
- Proof: consider the nearly saturating flow...



- Definition: an s–t cut is  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -fair if there exists an s  $\rightarrow t$ flow such that every edge of the cut is nearly saturated
	- The flow along each cut edge in the  $s \rightarrow t$  direction is at least  $\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}$  times edge capacity



- Theorem: for any  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -fair s–t cut and vertices u, v, there exists a  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate  $u$ - $v$  cut that does not cross
- Proof: consider the nearly saturating flow...



- Definition: an s–t cut is  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -fair if there exists an s  $\rightarrow t$ flow such that every edge of the cut is nearly saturated
	- The flow along each cut edge in the  $s \rightarrow t$  direction is at least  $\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}$  times edge capacity



- Theorem: for any  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -fair s–t cut and vertices u, v, there exists a  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate  $u$ - $v$  cut that does not cross
- Proof: consider the nearly saturating flow...



• Theorem: can compute  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate fair cuts in  $\tilde{O}(m/\epsilon^3)$  time.

- Theorem: can compute  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate fair cuts in  $\tilde{O}(m/\epsilon^3)$  time.
	- Follows (1  $+$   $\epsilon$ )-approximate mincut algorithm [Sherman'13]

- Theorem: can compute  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate fair cuts in  $\tilde{O}(m/\epsilon^3)$  time.
	- Follows (1 +  $\epsilon$ )-approximate mincut algorithm [Sherman'13]
- Minimum Isolating Cuts: a useful primitive for graph cut algorithms

- Theorem: can compute  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate fair cuts in  $\tilde{O}(m/\epsilon^3)$  time.
	- Follows (1 +  $\epsilon$ )-approximate mincut algorithm [Sherman'13]
- Minimum Isolating Cuts: a useful primitive for graph cut algorithms
	- Given terminals  $T \subset V$ , the minimum isolating cut at terminal  $t \in T$  is the minimum cut separating t from all other terminals.

- Theorem: can compute  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate fair cuts in  $\tilde{O}(m/\epsilon^3)$  time.
	- Follows  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate mincut algorithm [Sherman'13]
- Minimum Isolating Cuts: a useful primitive for graph cut algorithms
	- Given terminals  $T \subset V$ , the minimum isolating cut at terminal  $t \in T$  is the minimum cut separating t from all other terminals.
	- Can compute all minimum isolating cuts (one for each terminal) in about max-flow time

- Theorem: can compute  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate fair cuts in  $\tilde{O}(m/\epsilon^3)$  time.
	- Follows  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate mincut algorithm [Sherman'13]
- Minimum Isolating Cuts: a useful primitive for graph cut algorithms
	- Given terminals  $T \subset V$ , the minimum isolating cut at terminal  $t \in T$  is the minimum cut separating t from all other terminals.
	- Can compute all minimum isolating cuts (one for each terminal) in about max-flow time
	- What about approximate minimum isolating cuts in approximate max-flow time?

- Theorem: can compute  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate fair cuts in  $\tilde{O}(m/\epsilon^3)$  time.
	- Follows  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate mincut algorithm [Sherman'13]
- Minimum Isolating Cuts: a useful primitive for graph cut algorithms
	- Given terminals  $T \subset V$ , the minimum isolating cut at terminal  $t \in T$  is the minimum cut separating t from all other terminals.
	- Can compute all minimum isolating cuts (one for each terminal) in about max-flow time
	- What about approximate minimum isolating cuts in approximate max-flow time?
		- Naïve approach fails because can't uncross

- Theorem: can compute  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate fair cuts in  $\tilde{O}(m/\epsilon^3)$  time.
	- Follows  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate mincut algorithm [Sherman'13]
- Minimum Isolating Cuts: a useful primitive for graph cut algorithms
	- Given terminals  $T \subset V$ , the minimum isolating cut at terminal  $t \in T$  is the minimum cut separating t from all other terminals.
	- Can compute all minimum isolating cuts (one for each terminal) in about max-flow time
	- What about approximate minimum isolating cuts in approximate max-flow time?
		- Naïve approach fails because can't uncross
		- Fair cuts: approximate minimum isolating cuts in  $\tilde{O}(m)$  time

- Theorem: can compute  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate fair cuts in  $\tilde{O}(m/\epsilon^3)$  time.
	- Follows  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate mincut algorithm [Sherman'13]
- Minimum Isolating Cuts: a useful primitive for graph cut algorithms
	- Given terminals  $T \subset V$ , the minimum isolating cut at terminal  $t \in T$  is the minimum cut separating t from all other terminals.
	- Can compute all minimum isolating cuts (one for each terminal) in about max-flow time
	- What about approximate minimum isolating cuts in approximate max-flow time?
		- Naïve approach fails because can't uncross
		- Fair cuts: approximate minimum isolating cuts in  $\tilde{O}(m)$  time
		- Following known reductions: approximate Steiner mincut, approximate Gomory-Hu tree (all-pairs mincut) in  $\tilde{O}(m)$  time, also parallel

- Theorem: can compute  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate fair cuts in  $\tilde{O}(m/\epsilon^3)$  time.
	- Follows (1 +  $\epsilon$ )-approximate mincut algorithm [Sherman'13]
- Minimum Isolating Cuts: a useful primitive for graph cut algorithms
	- Given terminals  $T \subset V$ , the minimum isolating cut at terminal  $t \in T$  is the minimum cut separating t from all other terminals.
	- Can compute all minimum isolating cuts (one for each terminal) in about max-flow time
	- What about approximate minimum isolating cuts in approximate max-flow time?
		- Naïve approach fails because can't uncross
		- Fair cuts: approximate minimum isolating cuts in  $\tilde{O}(m)$  time
		- Following known reductions: approximate Steiner mincut, approximate Gomory-Hu tree (all-pairs mincut) in  $\tilde{O}(m)$  time, also parallel
- Expander pruning in expander decomposition

- Theorem: can compute  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate fair cuts in  $\tilde{O}(m/\epsilon^3)$  time.
	- Follows  $(1 + \epsilon)$ -approximate mincut algorithm [Sherman'13]
- Minimum Isolating Cuts: a useful primitive for graph cut algorithms
	- Given terminals  $T \subset V$ , the minimum isolating cut at terminal  $t \in T$  is the minimum cut separating t from all other terminals.
	- Can compute all minimum isolating cuts (one for each terminal) in about max-flow time
	- What about approximate minimum isolating cuts in approximate max-flow time?
		- Naïve approach fails because can't uncross
		- Fair cuts: approximate minimum isolating cuts in  $\tilde{O}(m)$  time
		- Following known reductions: approximate Steiner mincut, approximate Gomory-Hu tree (all-pairs mincut) in  $\tilde{O}(m)$  time, also parallel
- Expander pruning in expander decomposition
	- First  $\tilde{O}(m)$  time  $\phi$ -expander decomposition algorithm for all values of  $\phi$ values of  $\phi$